

***Pre-Feasibility Assessment for  
Integration of Biomass Energy Systems***

***in***

***Saint Maries School District  
Saint Maries, Idaho***

January 30, 2006

Presented by

***CTA Architects Engineers  
Dan Stevenson***

For

United States Department of Agriculture  
Forest Service  
Region One

In partnership with:

Saint Maries School District

Bitter Root Resource and Conservation Development Area, Incorporated  
Idaho Division of Forestry

CTA Project: BIOMASPFPA-STMARIES

## Executive Summary

The following assessment was commissioned to determine the technical and economic feasibility of integrating a biomass heating system with three existing facilities at the Saint Maries School District located in Saint Maries, Idaho. This assessment is funded through the USDA Forest Service, Region One, as part of the Fuels for Schools program. The field investigation took place on August 19, 2004. Revised fuel costs and fuel volumes were provided on January 30, 2006.

Field investigation identified the following information:

The elementary school is a two-story brick building with full basement, originally constructed in 1928, with a classroom and cafeteria addition in 1988. The addition is wood or metal studs construction with EIFS exterior. Overall size of the entire facility is 48,000 square feet. Based on a review of the pre-feasibility assessment form, the site has potential as a biomass project. The original boiler room sits within a paved courtyard formed by the original school and the 1988 addition. The boiler room within the addition is adjacent to a paved access drive. The 2.1 mmbtu steam boiler in the original school is located in a large boiler room with an adjacent coal bin. The 1988 addition contains a 446,000 BTU hot water boiler. The project would require that the original boiler and new boiler be interconnected and that a chip receiving door & bin be constructed adjacent to the former coal bin.

Two options were explored:

### **Option A.1 – Automated Wood Chip Plant, 1928 Elementary School Only**

Construct an automated wood chip receiving unit, south of the original boiler room. The heating plant would include a 1.0 MMBTUH steam boiler and related equipment and would use the adjacent coal bin for chip storage. This option provides heat for the majority of the school and assumes that the existing boilers would be used as back up.

### **Option A.1.1 – Automated Wood Chip Plant, 1928 Elementary School Only Fuel Oil @ \$2.50/gallon**

### **Option A.2 – Automated Wood Chip Plant, 1928 & 1988 Elementary School Only**

Construct an automated wood chip receiving unit, south of the original boiler room. The heating plant would include a 1.0 MMBTUH steam boiler and related equipment and would use the adjacent coal bin for chip storage. This option provides heat for the majority of the school and assumes that the existing boilers would be used as back up. A heat exchanger would be required to tie the steam boiler to the hot water boiler with approximately 250 linear feet of hot water supply and return piping.

### **Option A.2.1 – Automated Wood Chip Plant, 1928 & 1988 Elementary School Only @ \$2.50/gallon**

## Estimated Costs

The total project costs including integration, contingency and escalation are estimated as noted below.

### **Option A.1 (1928 Elementary Only)**

Chip Receiving Door/Bin:	\$50,000
Wood Heating & Wood Handling System:	\$175,000
Boiler Room Integration:	\$50,000
Fees, Building Permit, Miscellaneous Expenses:	\$30,000
Air Quality Permit:	<u>\$25,000</u>
Subtotal:	\$330,000
20% Contingency:	<u>\$70,000</u>
Total:	\$400,000

### **Option A.2 (1928 & 1988 Elementary Only)**

Chip Receiving Door/Bin:	\$50,000
Wood Heating & Wood Handling System:	\$175,000
Boiler Room Integration:	\$50,000
Tie-In to 1988 boiler:	\$100,000
Fees, Building Permit, Miscellaneous Expenses:	\$40,000
Air Quality Permit:	<u>\$25,000</u>
Subtotal:	\$440,000
20% Contingency:	\$85,000
Total:	\$525,000

Biomass boiler system budget estimates are based upon recent biomass assessments and project costs for completed systems.

## Results of Evaluation

The cash flow analysis assumes fuel oil at \$2.00/gallon, wood chips at a price of \$35 per green ton. Options A.1.1 & A.2.1 assume fuel oil at \$2.50/gallon. The price and source of wood fuels should be confirmed.

### **Wood Chip Options:**

Option A.1: Appears to achieve positive accumulated cash flow (PAC) in 11 years with a subsidy of \$250,000. The project may achieve PAC in 22 years without subsidy. 30 years savings may be more than \$600,000.

Option A.1.1: Appears to achieve positive accumulated cash flow (PAC) in 13 years with a subsidy of \$250,000. The project may achieve PAC in 21 years without subsidy. 30 years savings may be more than \$800,000.

Option A.2: Appears to achieve positive accumulated cash flow (PAC) in 13 years with a subsidy of \$250,000. The project may achieve PAC in 21 years without subsidy. 30 years savings may be more than \$900,000.

Option A.2.1: Appears to achieve positive accumulated cash flow (PAC) in 13 years with a subsidy of \$250,000. The project may achieve PAC in 19 years without subsidy. 30 years savings may be more than \$1,100,000.

Accumulated cash flow is the primary evaluation measure that is implemented in this report and is similar to simple payback with the exception that accumulated cash flow takes the cost of financing and fuel escalation into account. For many building owners, a positive accumulated cash flow of about 10 years maximum is considered necessary for implementation.

#### Project Funding:

The School District could consider a 50% grant from the US Forest Service/State of Idaho "Fuels For Schools" Program. The grant supports 50% of the total project costs including required integration costs, but not upgrades to heat distribution. The grant requires that 50% of the wood fuel be derived from forest thinning projects on private, state, tribal or federal lands for the first two years of the project.

The School District may chose to raise the remaining funds for the project using a Maintenance Levy.

The school could review the project with local rural electric cooperatives. Rural electric cooperatives have the ability to provide a portion of the project financing through the Rural Economic Develop Loan and Grant (REDLG) program.

The School District might choose to enter into performance contracts for the project. Companies such as Siemens, McKinstry, Johnson Controls and Chevron have expressed an interest in participating in funding projects of all sizes across the state. This allows the school to pay for the project entirely from the guaranteed energy savings, and to minimize the project funds required to initiate the project.

**St. Maries School District**  
**A.1 Chips: 1928 Elementary Only**  
 St. Maries, Idaho

Date(Revision Date): January 30, 2006  
 Analyst: CTA-Architects Engineers- Nick Salmon

**Existing Boiler I**  
 2.1 mmbtu 1928 Building (steam)  
 0.446 mmbtu 1988 Addition (hot water)  
 2.546 mmbtu Combined Capacity  
 0.068403028 Existing Facility Utilization Factor

**Proposed Boiler**  
 1.0 mmbtu Proposed Capacity  
 0.156738699 Proposed Facility Utilization Factor

**EXISTING CONDITIONS**

Existing Fuel Type:  
 Current Annual Fuel Cost:  
 3-year Annual Average Fuel Usage:  
 Annual Heating Costs:

Fuel Oil	Propane
\$2.00	\$1.30
11,000	0
\$22,000	\$0

**Notes:**  
 Fuel type highlighted  
 Current year average \$/gallon or \$/dka  
 Chart will automatically convert

**ENERGY CONVERSION (to 1 mmbtu, or 1 dka)**

Current Annual Fuel Volume (btu):  
 Assumed efficiency of existing heating system (%):  
 Net Annual Fuel Usage (btu):

1,525,590,000	0
70%	80%
1,067,913,000	0

Chart will automatically convert  
 Chart will automatically convert

**WOOD FUEL COST**

\$/ton:  
 Assumed efficiency of wood heating system (%):

Chips: Fuel	Chips: Propane
\$35.00	\$35.00 Modify for local conditions
70%	70%

**PROJECTED FUEL USAGE**

Assumed btu content of wood fuel  
 Tons of wood fuel to create net equivalent of 100% annual heating load

5400	5400
141	0 =Net Annual Fuel Usage/10.8 mmbtu/Assumed efficiency of wood heating system

**Total Project Cost** -**\$400,000**

nearest \$50,000

**Project Financing Information**

Percent Financed	38%
Amount Financed	-\$150,000
Amount of Grants	\$250,000
Interest Rate	4.60%
Term	10
Annual Finance Cost (years)	-\$19,050
Simple Payback: Total Project Cost/Year One Operating Cost Savings:	-28 (years)

Modify for local conditions

Represents a quick look at project viability

**Inflation Factors**

O&M Inflation Rate	3%
Current Fuel Inflation Rate	4%
Wood Fuel Inflation Rate	3%

Change in this location only  
 Change in this location only  
 Change in this location only

Cash flow Descriptions	Unit Costs	Heating Source Proportion	Annual Heating Source Volumes	Heating Units	Year 1	Year 10	Year 11	Year 20	Year 30
<b>Existing Heating System Operating Costs</b>									
Displaced heating costs	\$2.00		11,000 gal		\$22,000	\$31,313	\$32,565	\$46,351	\$68,610
Displaced Operation and Maintenance Costs					\$500	\$652	\$672	\$877	\$1,178
<b>Biomass System Operating Costs</b>									
Wood Fuel (\$/ton, delivered to boiler site, btu/lb) (90% of total heat reqmnt)	\$35.00	90%	141 tons		\$4,450	\$5,806	\$5,980	\$7,802	\$10,486
Small load existing fuel (10% of total heat reqmnt)	\$2.00	10%	11,000 gal		\$2,200	\$3,131	\$3,257	\$4,635	\$6,861
Operation and Maintenance Costs					\$1,500	\$1,957	\$2,016	\$2,630	\$3,535
<b>Annual Operating Cost Savings</b>					<b>\$14,350</b>	<b>\$21,071</b>	<b>\$21,985</b>	<b>\$32,160</b>	<b>\$48,907</b>
<b>Financed Project Costs - Principal and Interest</b>					<b>(19,050)</b>	<b>(19,050)</b>			
<b>Displaced System Replacement Costs (year one only)</b>									
<b>Net Annual Cash Flow</b>					<b>(4,700)</b>	<b>2,021</b>	<b>21,985</b>	<b>32,160</b>	<b>48,907</b>
<b>Cumulative Cash Flow</b>					<b>(4,700)</b>	<b>(10,107)</b>	<b>11,878</b>	<b>257,818</b>	<b>665,859</b>

**St. Maries School District**  
**A.1.1 Chips: 1928 Elementary Only**  
 St. Maries, Idaho

Date(Revision Date): January 30, 2006  
 Analyst: CTA-Architects Engineers- Nick Salmon

**Existing Boiler I**  
 2.1 mmbtu 1928 Building (steam)  
 0.446 mmbtu 1988 Addition (hot water)  
 2.546 mmbtu Combined Capacity  
 0.068403028 Existing Facility Utilization Factor

**Proposed Boiler**  
 1.0 mmbtu Proposed Capacity  
 0.156738699 Proposed Facility Utilization Factor

**EXISTING CONDITIONS**

Existing Fuel Type:  
 Current Annual Fuel Cost:  
 3-year Annual Average Fuel Usage:  
 Annual Heating Costs:

Fuel Oil	Propane
\$2.50	\$1.30
11,000	0
\$27,500	\$0

**Notes:**  
 Fuel type highlighted  
 Current year average \$/gallon or \$/dka  
 Chart will automatically convert

**ENERGY CONVERSION (to 1 mmbtu, or 1 dka)**

Current Annual Fuel Volume (btu):  
 Assumed efficiency of existing heating system (%):  
 Net Annual Fuel Usage (btu):

1,525,590,000	0
70%	80%
1,067,913,000	0

Chart will automatically convert  
 Chart will automatically convert

**WOOD FUEL COST**

\$/ton:  
 Assumed efficiency of wood heating system (%):

Chips: Fuel	Chips: Propane
\$35.00	\$35.00 Modify for local conditions
70%	70%

**PROJECTED FUEL USAGE**

Assumed btu content of wood fuel  
 Tons of wood fuel to create net equivalent of 100% annual heating load

5400	5400
141	0 =Net Annual Fuel Usage/10.8 mmbtu/Assumed efficiency of wood heating system

nearest \$50,000

**Total Project Cost** -**\$500,000**

Project Financing Information	
Percent Financed	50%
Amount Financed	-\$250,000
Amount of Grants	\$250,000
Interest Rate	4.60%
Term	10
Annual Finance Cost (years)	-\$31,750
Simple Payback: Total Project Cost/Year One Operating Cost Savings:	-26 (years)

Modify for local conditions

Represents a quick look at project viability

Inflation Factors	
O&M Inflation Rate	3%
Current Fuel Inflation Rate	4%
Wood Fuel Inflation Rate	3%

Change in this location only  
 Change in this location only  
 Change in this location only

Cash flow Descriptions	Unit Costs	Heating Source Proportion	Annual Heating Source Volumes	Heating Units	Year 1	Year 10	Year 11	Year 20	Year 30
<b>Existing Heating System Operating Costs</b>									
Displaced heating costs	\$2.50		11,000 gal		\$27,500	\$39,141	\$40,707	\$57,938	\$85,763
Displaced Operation and Maintenance Costs					\$500	\$652	\$672	\$877	\$1,178
<b>Biomass System Operating Costs</b>									
Wood Fuel (\$/ton, delivered to boiler site, btu/lb) (90% of total heat reqmnt)	\$35.00	90%	141 tons		\$4,450	\$5,806	\$5,980	\$7,802	\$10,486
Small load existing fuel (10% of total heat reqmnt)	\$2.50	10%	11,000 gal		\$2,750	\$3,914	\$4,071	\$5,794	\$8,576
Operation and Maintenance Costs					\$1,500	\$1,957	\$2,016	\$2,630	\$3,535
<b>Annual Operating Cost Savings</b>					<b>\$19,300</b>	<b>\$28,116</b>	<b>\$29,312</b>	<b>\$42,589</b>	<b>\$64,344</b>
<b>Financed Project Costs - Principal and Interest</b>					<b>(31,750)</b>	<b>(31,750)</b>			
<b>Displaced System Replacement Costs (year one only)</b>									
<b>Net Annual Cash Flow</b>					<b>(12,450)</b>	<b>(3,634)</b>	<b>29,312</b>	<b>42,589</b>	<b>64,344</b>
<b>Cumulative Cash Flow</b>					<b>(12,450)</b>	<b>(76,391)</b>	<b>(47,079)</b>	<b>279,505</b>	<b>817,765</b>

**St. Maries School District**  
**A.2 Chips: 1928 & 1988 Elementary**  
 St. Maries, Idaho

Date(Revision Date): January 30, 2006  
 Analyst: CTA-Architects Engineers- Nick Salmon

**Existing Boiler I**

2.1 mmbtu 1928 Building (steam)  
 0.446 mmbtu 1988 Addition (hot water)  
 2.546 mmbtu Combined Capacity  
 0.099495314 Existing Facility Utilization Factor

**Proposed Boiler**

1.0 mmbtu Proposed Capacity  
 0.227983562 Proposed Facility Utilization Factor

**EXISTING CONDITIONS**

Existing Fuel Type:  
 Current Annual Fuel Cost:  
 3-year Annual Average Fuel Usage:  
 Annual Heating Costs:

Fuel Oil	Propane
\$2.00	\$1.30
16,000	0
\$32,000	\$0

**Notes:**

Fuel type highlighted  
 Current year average \$/gallon or \$/dka  
 Chart will automatically convert

**ENERGY CONVERSION (to 1 mmbtu, or 1 dka)**

Current Annual Fuel Volume (btu):  
 Assumed efficiency of existing heating system (%):  
 Net Annual Fuel Usage (btu):

2,219,040,000	0
70%	80%
1,553,328,000	0

Chart will automatically convert  
 Chart will automatically convert

**WOOD FUEL COST**

\$/ton:  
 Assumed efficiency of wood heating system (%):

Chips: Fuel	Chips: Propane
\$35.00	\$35.00
70%	70%

Modify for local conditions

**PROJECTED FUEL USAGE**

Assumed btu content of wood fuel  
 Tons of wood fuel to create net equivalent of 100% annual heating load

5400	5400
205	0

0 =Net Annual Fuel Usage/10.8 mmbtu/Assumed efficiency of wood heating system

**Total Project Cost** -**\$525,000**

nearest \$50,000

**Project Financing Information**

Percent Financed	52%
Amount Financed	-\$275,000
Amount of Grants	\$250,000
Interest Rate	4.60%
Term	10
Annual Finance Cost (years)	-\$34,925
Simple Payback: Total Project Cost/Year One Operating Cost Savings:	-25 (years)

Modify for local conditions

Represents a quick look at project viability

**Inflation Factors**

O&M Inflation Rate	3%
Current Fuel Inflation Rate	4%
Wood Fuel Inflation Rate	3%

Change in this location only  
 Change in this location only  
 Change in this location only

Cash flow Descriptions	Unit Costs	Heating Source Proportion	Annual Heating Source Volumes	Heating Units	Year 1	Year 10	Year 11	Year 20	Year 30
<b>Existing Heating System Operating Costs</b>									
Displaced heating costs	\$2.00		16,000 gal		\$32,000	\$45,546	\$47,368	\$67,419	\$99,797
Displaced Operation and Maintenance Costs					\$500	\$652	\$672	\$877	\$1,178
<b>Biomass System Operating Costs</b>									
Wood Fuel (\$/ton, delivered to boiler site, btu/lb) (90% of total heat reqmnt)	\$35.00	90%	205 tons		\$6,472	\$8,445	\$8,698	\$11,349	\$15,252
Small load existing fuel (10% of total heat reqmnt)	\$2.00	10%	16,000 gal		\$3,200	\$4,555	\$4,737	\$6,742	\$9,980
Operation and Maintenance Costs					\$1,500	\$1,957	\$2,016	\$2,630	\$3,535
<b>Annual Operating Cost Savings</b>					<b>\$21,328</b>	<b>\$31,242</b>	<b>\$32,589</b>	<b>\$47,575</b>	<b>\$72,208</b>
<b>Financed Project Costs - Principal and Interest</b>					(34,925)	(34,925)			
<b>Displaced System Replacement Costs (year one only)</b>									
<b>Net Annual Cash Flow</b>					(13,597)	(3,683)	32,589	47,575	72,208
<b>Cumulative Cash Flow</b>					(13,597)	(81,650)	(49,061)	315,062	917,988

**St. Maries School District**

**A.2.1 Chips: 1928 & 1988 Elementary**

St. Maries, Idaho

Date(Revision Date): January 30, 2006

Analyst: CTA-Architects Engineers- Nick Salmon

**Existing Boiler I**

2.1 mmbtu 1928 Building (steam)  
 0.446 mmbtu 1988 Addition (hot water)  
 2.546 mmbtu Combined Capacity  
 0.099495314 Existing Facility Utilization Factor

**Proposed Boiler**

1.0 mmbtu Proposed Capacity  
 0.227983562 Proposed Facility Utilization Factor

**EXISTING CONDITIONS**

Existing Fuel Type:	Fuel Oil	Propane
Current Annual Fuel Cost:	\$2.50	\$1.30
3-year Annual Average Fuel Usage:	16,000	0
Annual Heating Costs:	\$40,000	\$0

**Notes:**

Fuel type highlighted  
 Current year average \$/gallon or \$/dka  
 Chart will automatically convert

**ENERGY CONVERSION (to 1 mmbtu, or 1 dka)**

	Fuel Oil	Propane
Current Annual Fuel Volume (btu):	2,219,040,000	0
Assumed efficiency of existing heating system (%):	70%	80%
Net Annual Fuel Usage (btu):	1,553,328,000	0

Chart will automatically convert  
 Chart will automatically convert

**WOOD FUEL COST**

\$/ton:  
 Assumed efficiency of wood heating system (%):

**Chips: Fuel Chips: Propane**

\$35.00 \$35.00 Modify for local conditions  
 70% 70%

**PROJECTED FUEL USAGE**

Assumed btu content of wood fuel  
 Tons of wood fuel to create net equivalent of 100% annual heating load

5400 5400  
 205 0 =Net Annual Fuel Usage/10.8 mmbtu/Assumed efficiency of wood heating system

**Total Project Cost** -**\$625,000**

nearest \$50,000

**Project Financing Information**

Percent Financed	60%
Amount Financed	-\$375,000
Amount of Grants	\$250,000
Interest Rate	4.60%
Term	10
Annual Finance Cost (years)	-\$47,625
Simple Payback: Total Project Cost/Year One Operating Cost Savings:	-22 (years)

Modify for local conditions

Represents a quick look at project viability

**Inflation Factors**

O&M Inflation Rate	3%
Current Fuel Inflation Rate	4%
Wood Fuel Inflation Rate	3%

Change in this location only  
 Change in this location only  
 Change in this location only

Cash flow Descriptions	Unit Costs	Heating Source Proportion	Annual Heating Source Volumes	Heating Units	Year 1	Year 10	Year 11	Year 20	Year 30
<b>Existing Heating System Operating Costs</b>									
Displaced heating costs	\$2.50		16,000 gal		\$40,000	\$56,932	\$59,210	\$84,274	\$124,746
Displaced Operation and Maintenance Costs					\$500	\$652	\$672	\$877	\$1,178
<b>Biomass System Operating Costs</b>									
Wood Fuel (\$/ton, delivered to boiler site, btu/lb) (90% of total heat reqmnt)	\$35.00	90%	205 tons		\$6,472	\$8,445	\$8,698	\$11,349	\$15,252
Small load existing fuel (10% of total heat reqmnt)	\$2.50	10%	16,000 gal		\$4,000	\$5,693	\$5,921	\$8,427	\$12,475
Operation and Maintenance Costs					\$1,500	\$1,957	\$2,016	\$2,630	\$3,535
<b>Annual Operating Cost Savings</b>					<b>\$28,528</b>	<b>\$41,490</b>	<b>\$43,247</b>	<b>\$62,744</b>	<b>\$94,663</b>
<b>Financed Project Costs - Principal and Interest</b>					(47,625)	(47,625)			
<b>Displaced System Replacement Costs (year one only)</b>									
<b>Net Annual Cash Flow</b>					(19,098)	(6,136)	43,247	62,744	94,663
<b>Cumulative Cash Flow</b>					(19,098)	(120,335)	(77,088)	404,335	1,196,670